

SUPR EME COUR T OF I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 215 OF 2009

KANCHAN Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOPAL CHHAPERWAL Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )  
(for final disposal)

WITH T.P(C) NO.190 OF 2009

(with appln(s) stay and permission to file additional documents and  
exemption from filing OT and office report) (for final disposal)

Date: 09/07/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Transfer Petition @ No.215 of 2009 has been filed by the wife seeking transfer of divorce petition filed by the husband, pending before the Court of Additional District Judge, Burahnpur (Madhya Pradesh), whereas Transfer Petition @ No.190 of 2009 has been filed by the husband seeking transfer of Section 9 petition for restitution of conjugal rights filed by the wife, pending before the Family Court of Coimbatore.

On perusing the record, we came to know that earlier also the husband approached this Court by filing Transfer Petition(Criminal) No.92 of 2007 seeking transfer of the proceedings initiated by the wife under Section 125 Cr.P.C. In the said petition, this Court vide its order dated 18/08/2008 directed th  
the parties to appear on 06 September, 2008 at the Supreme Court Lok Adalat.

Accordingly, both the parties appeared and we are informed that conciliation talks failed. Thereafter, this Court vide its order dated January 09, 2009 disposed of the transfer petition as well as the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. on the statement made on behalf of the husband

-2-

that the husband will pay Rs.6,000/- per month w.e.f. 1.1.2007 for the maintenance of the wife and the child.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the wife has stated at the Bar that the wife is now willing to go back to her husband.

In view of the changed circumstances and also keeping in view the future of the child, we grant six weeks' further time to the parties to make another attempt of compromise. List the matter thereafter.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)  
Court Master

(Indu Satija )  
Court Master