

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Conmt. Pet.(C) No.375/2004 in C.A.No.5346/2003 (For Prel. Hearing)

SATYENDAR PRASAD SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

A.K. SARKAR & ORS.

Respondent (s)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(Civil)No.378-379/2004 In C.A.No.5342-5343/2003 (For Prel.Hearing)

Date : 05/05/2004 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

Mr. S.B. Sanyal, Sr. Adv.
For Petitioner (s)Mr. Rajesh Prasad Singh, Adv.

Mr. Jamshed Bey, Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following

O R D E R

The grievance of the petitioners in these contempt petitions which impressed us is that by judgment rendered by this Court on 23rd July, 2003, a direction was given to the State of Jharkhand to constitute a selection committee and consider at the earliest for recruiting Technical Assistant for the Semen Bank Project and to fill up the existing vacancies within a period of three months from the date of the order, but neither the selection committee has been constituted nor the vacancies have been filled up as yet.

Issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why proceeding for contempt be not initiated against them for violation of the aforesaid order of this Court.

It has been stated by Mr.S.B. Sanyal, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners that the petitioners have not been paid salary from March, 2003 to 24th October, 2003 although they had worked during the aforesaid period. Though such a prayer is ordinarily not entertained in a contempt petition, but in the facts and circumstances of this case, we direct that notice may be issued to the respondents in this regard as well.

Liberty to file additional documents.

(Sheetal Dhingra) (Kanwal Singh)
Court Master Court Master