

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**

**INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (C) .....D. No. 4580 OF 2026**

**IN**

**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) No. 27053 OF 2024**

**SANJAY HIRALAL SHAH**

**... PETITIONER**

**Versus**

**HDFC BANK LTD.**

**... RESPONDENT**

**O R D E R**

1. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.
2. Despite the fact that there is a delay of 347 days in filing the Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 10.01.2025, passed in SLP(C) No.27053/2024, is made out.
3. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

4. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.  
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.  
(SANJAY KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;  
MARCH 17, 2026.

ITEM NO.1006

SECTION III-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) .....Diary No(s).4580/2026 in SLP(C) No. No.27053/2024

SANJAY HIRALAL SHAH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HDFC BANK LTD.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 25434/2026 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT

IA No. 24234/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

Date : 17-03-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.
3. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)  
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(signed order is placed on the file)