

bITEM NO.119

COURT NO.6

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6003 OF 2004

NANDLAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 2111 of 2006
(With office report)

Date: 28/09/2010 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arpit Gupta, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Jain, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Dalela, Adv.
Mr. Rituvendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Rr-Ex-Parte

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.
Mr. Naveen Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6003 OF 2004 : The Appeal is dismissed.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2111 of 2006 : The Appeal is dismissed
as having become infructuous.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6003 OF 2004

NANDLAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2111 of 2006

O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6003 OF 2004

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

None appeared for the respondents, though duly served.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Bench at Indore dated 24.11.2003 passed in Writ Petition No. 705 of 2003.

The appellant has come up against an order granting sanction for undertaking the review. We have been informed that no order of review has yet been passed. Hence, the Writ Petition filed before the High Court as well as this Appeal are premature at this stage.

The Writ Petition and the present Appeal are dismissed accordingly. No costs.

However, all questions of law are kept open.

:1:

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2111 of 2006

Learned counsel appearing for the appellants in this Appeal states that this Appeal has become infructuous since the lower authority has decided in favour of the appellants.

The Appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 28, 2010.

:2: