

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9587/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 28/08/2003 in CWP No. 13716/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

KESHAV MURTI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.T., CHANDIGARH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 30/01/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rakesh, Adv.

Mr. Onkar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Verma, Adv.

Mr. A.P. Mohanty, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mrs. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

Mrs. Sunita Diwadi, Adv.

Ms. Rani, Adv. for

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed.

(J.S. Rawat)

(Khushi

Ram)

AR-cum-PS

Court M

aster

[Signed order is placed on the file].

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2006
(Arising out of SLP(C) No. 9587 of 2004)

Keshav Murti.

Appellant (s

)

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh & Ors.

Respondent (s

)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Heard the counsel for the parties.

Since the entire amount due along with costs/penal interests has been deposited, on the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, we set aside the impugned order of the High Court and allow the appeal.

The writ petition filed before the High Court stands allowed.

As this appeal is allowed on the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, this order shall not be taken as a precedent for future reference.

.....

(ASHOK BHAN)

J.

.J.
New Delhi;
January 30, 2006.

.....
(TARUN CHATTERJEE)