

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 2055 OF 2013
ANWAR HUSSAIN

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

AHMAD HUSSEIN & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard Ms. Monisha Handa, learned counsel appearing for the appellant. We have been taken through the judgments of the Trial Court as well as of the High Court. We find that the High Court, after appropriately analyzing the evidence, had drawn correct conclusions.

Hence, we do not find any reason to interfere with the judgment dated 20.09.2012 passed by the High Court of Uttarakhand at Nanital.

Accordingly, we find no merit in the criminal appeal and the same is dismissed.

.....J.

[PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE]

.....J.

[AMITAVA ROY]

NEW DELHI;

SEPTEMBER 22, 2016

ITEM NO.117

COURT NO.9

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 2055/2013

ANWAR HUSSAIN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

AHMAD HUSSEIN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 22/09/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s) Ms. Monisha Handa, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Binay Kumar Das,Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.

Mr. Deepak Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Garg, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The criminal appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(SWETA DHYANI)

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)

SR.P.A.

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)