

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 2055 OF 2013

ANWAR HUSSAIN

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

AHMAD HUSSEIN & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard Ms. Monisha Handa, learned counsel appearing for the appellant.

We have been taken through the judgments of the Trial Court as well as of the High Court. We find that the High Court, after appropriately analyzing the evidence, had drawn correct conclusions.

Hence, we do not find any reason to interfere with the judgment dated 20.09.2012 passed by the High Court of Uttarakhand at Nanital.

Accordingly, we find no merit in the criminal appeal and the same is dismissed.

.....J.  
[PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE]

.....J.  
[AMITAVA ROY]

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 22, 2016

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 2055/2013

ANWAR HUSSAIN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

AHMAD HUSSEIN &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 22/09/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROYFor Appellant(s) Ms. Monisha Handa, Adv.  
Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Binay Kumar Das, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Garg, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RThe criminal appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed  
order.(SWETA DHYANI)  
SR.P.A.(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)