

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRLMP.NO.3734-3736/2011 IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 823-825 OF 2010

STATE TR.DY.SUPDT.OF POLICE Appellant (s)  
VERSUS  
PUSHPAM & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln. for directions and office report )

Date: 04/03/2011 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Mohan Parasaran, ASG  
Mr.Prasanth P., Adv.  
Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv.  
Mr. T. Harish Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Altaf Ahmed, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. V.G. Pragsam, Adv.  
Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.  
Mr. Prabhu Rma Subramanian, Adv.  
Mr. M. Vijaya Bhaskar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard both sides.

We make it clear that the frozen assets can be released only for the purpose of settling the claims before the Settlement Commissioner as recommended by him and to the extent the amount that may be quantified by the Settlement Commissioner. If any petition is filed before the High Court, similar order may be passed on the above lines.

The criminal miscellaneous petitions are disposed of.

[ Usha Bhardwaj ]  
Court Master

[ Savita Sainani ]  
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRL.M.P.NOS.3734-3736 OF 2011  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 823-825 OF 2010

State Tr.Supdt.of Police ...Appellant(s)  
Versus

Pushpam & Ors. ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard both sides.

We make it clear that the frozen assets can be released only for the purpose of settling the claims before the Settlement Commissioner as recommended by him and to the extent the amount that may be quantified by the Settlement Commissioner. If any petition is filed before the High Court, similar order may be passed on the above lines.

The criminal miscellaneous petitions are disposed of.

.....J.  
(P. SATHASIVAM)

.....J.  
(Dr.B.S. CHAUHAN)

New Delhi,  
March 04, 2011.