

1
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1147 OF 2007

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

MALKIAT SINGH & ORS.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

On hearing counsel for the appellant, we see no reason to interfere with the judgment of acquittal rendered by the High Court, more so as the trial court had given the respondents a sentence of 3 years rigorous imprisonment under section 9 of the Opium Act, in connection with the alleged offence that took place as far back as in the year 1983.

The criminal appeal is dismissed.

.....J
(AFTAB ALAM)

.....J
(R.M. LODHA)

NEW DELHI,
OCTOBER 06, 2010
ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.12

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1147 OF 2007

STATE OF PUNJAB

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MALKIAT SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 06/10/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Shalu Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

(N.S.K. Kamesh)
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)