

SUPR EME COUR T OF I ND I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).4774/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 08/08/2006 in CWP No. 8325/2004 of  
The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

SURESH RANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 09/07/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukund Gupta,Adv.  
Mr. Dhiraj,Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Puri,Adv.  
Mr. P.N. Puri,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anil Grover,Adv.  
Ms. Shubhangi Tuli,Adv.  
Mr. Hari Om Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.  
Ms. C.K. Sucharita,Adv.  
Mrs.Rekha Palli,Adv.  
Ms. Purnima Bhat,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Leave granted.

The appeal is dismissed.

[SUMAN WADHWA]  
COURT MASTER

[SHASHI BALA VIJ]  
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4270 OF 2009  
(Arising out of SLP(C)No. 4774/2007)

Suresh Rani

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

ORDER

1. Leave granted.

2. Admittedly there were 42 persons in the waiting list of plot allotment. The petitioner herein is at serial No.30. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has carefully explained to us as to how the petitioner is not entitled, as the last person entitled would be at serial No. 26, whereas the petitioner is at serial No.30. It is admitted that no person below him has been allotted the plot. In that view, we do not see anything wrong in the plot being refused to the petitioner.

3. The appeal is dismissed.

.....J.  
(V.S. SIRPURKAR)

.....J.  
(B.S. CHAUHAN)

New Delhi,  
July 9, 2009.