

*

T.P.(Crl.) No. 89 OF 2003
ITEM No.33

Court No. 5

SECTION XVIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Transfer Petition.(Crl.) No. 89/2003

ARVIND K. GARG

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

ANJALI AGARWAL & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay and exemption from filing O.T.)

With

T.P.(C) No.396/2003(for prel. Hearing) (with appln.(s) for exemption from filing OT and ex-parte stay)

Date : 15/09/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

For Petitioner (s)Mr. S.C. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Baij Nath Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. Ashwani Garg, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The transfer petitions are allowed in terms of the
signed order.

(PAWAN KUMAR)

(PREM PRAKASH)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(CRI.)NO.89 OF 2003

Arvind K. Garg...Petitioner

Versus

Anjali Agarwal & Anr....Respondents

With

T.P.(C) Nos.396/2003

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is a dispute between the husband and wife. There are different cases pending in different Courts. There is a Divorce Petition filed by the husband being Divorce Petition No.157/2001 titled Arvind vs. Anjali pending before the Family Court, Family Judge, Jaipur City, Jaipur.

There is a maintenance case under Section 125 Cr.P.C. filed by the mother and daughter against the father which is pending against the petitioner herein pending in the Family Court, Meerut, Case No.204/2000 titled Anjali Aggarwal vs. Arvind Kumar Garg. There is another criminal case by the respondent-wife which is a complaint filed under Section 498A IPC which is pending in Case No.9/2002 Criminal Complaint titled Anjali Agarwal vs. Arvind Kumar Garg before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vidisha.

....2/-

: 2 :

On the facts and circumstances of these cases we think it appropriate that all these cases should be tried in one place, therefore, we direct all three cases be transferred to the Principal Judge, Meerut, U.P. who shall on the receipt of the records assign it to the Courts of competent jurisdiction in Meerut for disposal in accordance with law.

The transfer petitions are allowed.

.....J.
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

.....J.
(B.P. SINGH)

NEW DELHI,
SEPTEMBER 15, 2003.