

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3108 OF 1998

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS.

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

H.C. OF PUNJAB & HARYANA THRO REGISTRAR

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the appellants states that in view of the subsequent developments, i.e., bringing about the amendments of the rules under the Punjab Capital (Development & Regulation) Act, 1952, nothing survives in this appeal. In view of this, we dismiss the appeal as having become infructuous.

.....J.  
(SHIVARAJ V. PATIL)

.....J.

New Delhi, (D.M. DHARMADHIKARI)  
November 25, 2003.

ITEM No.110

Court No. 9

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No.3108/1998

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS.

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

H.C. OF PUNJAB & HARYANA THRO REGISTRAR

RESPONDENT

Date : 25/11/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

For Appellant (s)Ms. Indu Malhotra, Adv.  
Ms. Ruchi Khurana, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Ex-parte

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the appellants for 5 minutes.  
The appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous in terms of the signed order.

Sarita (Shelly Sengupta)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)