

SECTION IV B

Listed on.3.2.2015  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA Court No.2  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION Item No.08

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS.  
15962-15963 OF 2013

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION Nos. 1-2

(Application for condonation of delay in filing SLP)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 3-4

(Application for exemption from filing official translation)

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

Jagjit Singh ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Punjabi University & Ors. ...Respondent(s)

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 6.1.2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order :-

" The petitioner has been refused regularisation despite his having worked for over 22 years on daily wagger and work charged basis. In para 20 of the SLP he has specifically alleged that five persons all junior to the petitioner as generator operators who were also appointed as daily wages employees earlier were granted regularation in March, 1992. Denial of similar treatment to the petitioner is therefore questioned on the ground

that  
the

same  
is  
arbitrary  
and  
discriminatory.

In the counter affidavit filed to the SLP, the respondent-University has not specifically disputed this averment.

Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that given an opportunity the University would file a specific reply to the said averment along with relevant documents.

We permit the respondent-University to explain the following (1) whether any one junior to the petitioner as generator operator or equivalent was at any time regularised in the University

(2) if daily wager/work-charge employee have been regularised in the University what is the scheme under which such regularisation was granted (3) was the case of the petitioner also considered along with other eligible candidates while granting such regularisation. If so what was the reason for denial of regularisation to the petitioner. Relevant record demonstrating the consideration of the case of the petitioner for regularisation along with his colleagues whether senior or junior shall also be kept handy for persual of the Court.

Needful shall be done by the University within four weeks.

Post after four weeks.

”

It is submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid order, Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (Respondent - University) has on 02.02.2015 filed additional documents alongwith applications for permission to file additional documents and exemption

from filing official translation with the permission of the Hon'ble Court which is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service of show cause notice is complete.

The matter is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to: Mr. Vinod Kumar Tiwari, Advocate.

Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Advocate.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Rajesh