

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6587/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 17/11/2011 in LPA No.730/2011 of the HIGH COURT OF PATNA)

ARVIND KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 02/03/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.S. Mishra, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Tathagat H. Vardhan, Adv.
 Mr. Dhruv Kumar Jha, Adv.
 Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Pathak, Adv.
 Mr. Durga Dutta, Adv.
 For Mr. Manu Shanker Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Mishra, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is different from writ petition CWJC No.7141/1991. According to him, the High Court was not justified in disposing of the petitioner's case in terms of the order passed in CWJC No.7141/1991.

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of the Court to withdraw this petition in order to file a review petition before the High Court. Leave as prayed for is granted. The special leave petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

The petitioner would be at liberty to bring the aforesaid fact to the notice of the High Court by filing a review petition and if the review petition is filed within four weeks from today, limitation would not come in the way of deciding the review petition by the High Court.

In case the facts of the petitioner's writ petition are different from that of CWJC No.7141/1991, then the petitioner's writ petition would be decided

separately by the High Court.

(A.S. BISHT)
COURT MASTER

(INDU SATIJA)
COURT MASTER