

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 746 OF 2004

PAWAN KUMAR RAI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 08/02/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

Mr. Vimla Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Raj Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 746 OF 2004

PAWAN KUMAR RAI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Allahabad dated 26.11.2002 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 579 of 2000.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

After having gone through the impugned judgment and having perused the records, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment and hence it does not call for our interference. We order accordingly.

Having no merit, the Appeal is dismissed.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

NEW DELHI;
FEBRUARY 08, 2011.