

ØITEM NO.2

COURT NO.9

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 2/2008 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 794 OF 2008

ONGC LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RANJU PHUKAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for directions and office report)

Date: 14/09/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Appellant(s) Mr. P.S.Patwalia, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv.for  
Ms. Naresh Bakshi,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.for  
Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed  
order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla) (Indu Satija)  
Court Master Court Master  
[Signed Order is placed on the File]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.794 OF 2008

ONGC Limited

..Appellant

versus

Ranju Phukan & Another

..Respondents

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Mr. P.S.Patwalia, learned senior counsel appearing  
on behalf of the appellant-company states that the appellant  
has regularised the period of absence of the workman by

treating it as leave and also made the payment of annual increments.

Mr. Vijay Hansaria, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the workman states that the workman is satisfied with the amount which has been paid to him and he has no further claim on account of salary and any other amount for the period of absence. He further states that the workman has not been given promotion.

Having perused the record, we came to know that the point of promotion was not at all raised by the workman in the writ petition. Hence, in our view, nothing survives in

-2-

this appeal and it is disposed off accordingly. However, as regards promotion etc., the workman may take such proceedings as is advised. No costs.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 14, 2009.

.....J.  
[ASOK KUMAR GANGULY]