

ITEM NO.121

COURT NO.16

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 10967-10968/2013

SHRI RAM MANDIR TRUST

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 271760/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 271759/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/
FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 27-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLIFor Appellant(s) : Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajivkumar, AOR
Mr. Jai Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shambhu Nath Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Amalpushp Shroti, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The dispute before us emanates from an application under Section 26 of the M.P. Public Trust Act, 1951 regarding management of the petitioner-Trust. However, the applicant of this application under Section 26 of the act, 1951 was subsequently part of group of people who moved another application for creation and registration of a separate Hanuman Mandir Trust which was allowed on 18.01.2011. Simultaneously, the Registrar Public Trust vide order dated 19.01.2011 directed the petitioner-Trust to handover the properties

of the temples of Hanuman Mandir to the respondent no. 3 trust.

2. In course of hearing today, we have gathered that the Hanuman Mandir and its property is not recorded as owner in favour of the petitioner or the respondent no. 3 in any revenue record.

3. In such view of the matter an issue may arise as to whether a temple built on a government land can be the property of any public trust.

4. Learned counsel for the parties seek time to obtain instructions in this regard.

5. List the matter on 25.02.2026.

(KANCHAN CHOUHAN)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)