

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 689 OF 2005

SAMJUBEN GORDHANBHAI KOLI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 07/10/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. C.D. Parmar, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.
Mr. S. Talukdar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Jesal, Adv.
Ms. Renuka Sahu, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

By order dated 09.05.2005 this Court has granted bail to the appellant. The bail bonds are cancelled. The appellant shall be taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining portion of sentence.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(reportable signed order is placed on the file)

REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 689 OF 2005

SAMJUBEN GORDHANBHAI KOLI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal, by special leave, has been filed against the impugned judgment and order of the High Court of Gujarat dated 17.12.2003 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 812 of 1995.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and order and hence we are not repeating the same here.

Having carefully perused the impugned judgment and order of the High Court, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the same. The High Court has dealt with the matter in great detail and upheld the conviction of the appellant. We see no reason to disagree with the impugned judgment and order.

:1:
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 689 OF 2005

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

By order dated 09.05.2005 this Court has granted bail to the appellant. The bail bonds are cancelled. The appellant shall be taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining portion of sentence.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the appellant should be granted remission of the rest of her sentence. In our opinion, remission can only be granted by the executive authorities.

However, the appellant will be free to seek appropriate redress from the appropriate Government by making a representation praying for pardon or remission of sentence in terms of Section 432 of the Code of Criminal Procedure or under Articles 72 or 161 of the Constitution of India. We make it clear that the power of the President of India under Article 72 or of the Governor under Article 161, being a constitutional power cannot be under the

restriction imposed by Section 433-A Cr.P.C. Section 433-A
Cr.P.C. can restrict the power under Section 432 Cr.P.C. or
Section 433 Cr.P.C. but it cannot restrict the
constitutional powers under Article 72 or 161 of the
Constitution, just as no limitation statute can restrict

:2:

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 689 OF 2005

the constitutional power of the High Court under Article
226 of the Constitution. This is because the Constitution
is a higher law and the statute is subordinate to it.

The appellant may also file a petition before the High
Court if the appellant is entitled to the benefit of any
Rule or G.O. of the State Government for remission of the
sentence.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 07, 2010.

:3: