

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1026-1027 OF 2005

ANNADURAI & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMILNADU

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 02/05/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V Krishnamurthy, Adv.

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. P.V. Kovilan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Appeals fail and the same are dismissed.

[Charanjeet Kaur]

Court Master

[Veera Verma]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 1026-1027 OF 2005

s) Annadurai & Ors. .. Appellant(
Versus
(s) State of Tamil Nadu .. Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appellants of these two appeals were convicted by trial Court under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, IPC) and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs. 1,000/-each, in default to undergo further imprisonment for a period of three months. They were further convicted under Section 148 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of two years and to pay a fine of Rs. 500/- each, in default to undergo further imprisonment for a period of three months. On appeal being preferred, the High court upheld the convictions. Hence, these appeals by special leave.

The prosecution case disclosed in the first information report has been supported by two eye-witnesses, Rajasekaran

..2/-

(P.W.1) and Nagarathinam (P.W.2). Veerasekaran (P.W.3) is a witness who had seen the accused persons coming back after commission of the crime. The evidence of the witnesses is corroborated by the medical evidence as the doctor has found twenty seven injuries on the body of the deceased caused by aruval and knife which are the weapons of assault. We have been taken through the evidence of these witnesses and upon perusal thereof, we are of the view that the trial Court as well as the High Court were quite justified in placing reliance upon their evidence and no interference by this Court is called for.

Accordingly, appeals fail and the same are dismissed.

.....J

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J

[D.K. JAIN]

NEW DELHI,
MAY 03, 2006.