

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.1087-1089 OF 2013
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.6202 & 6203-6204/2012

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BHARTI MISHRA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

Date: 01/08/2013 These Petitions were taken up in Chambers today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

Present:

For petitioners: Ms. Indira Jaising, ASG
Mr. Aman Ahluwalia, Adv.
for Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.(N/P)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petitions are disposed of in terms of the signed order.

| (VINOD LAKHINA) | | (INDU BALA KAPUR) |
| COURT MASTER | | COURT MASTER |

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.1087-1089 OF 2013
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6202 & 6203-6204/2012

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

BHARTI MISHRA & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

ORDER

Delay condoned.

We have heard Ms. Indira Jaising, learned Additional Solicitor General of India.

In our opinion, the apprehensions expressed by the learned Additional Solicitor General are wholly unfounded as the controversy involved in the present proceedings did not concern the fixation of seniority of direct recruits. It was limited only to the seniority between appointees who had been appointed with the approval of the U.P.S.C. and other ad hoc appointees who were subsequently regularized with the approval of the U.P.S.C. Hence, there is no error in our order to warrant any review. We may however clarify, that the regularly recruited direct recruits (in accordance with rules) shall remain senior to the ad hoc appointees.

...2/-

:2:

The review petitions are disposed of accordingly.

.....,J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....,J.
(H.L. GOKHALE)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 01, 2013