

\236

C.A.No. 5744 OF 2000

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

ITEM NO. 106 COURT NO. 6 SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5744/2000

State of Punjab & Anr. .. Appellant (s)

Vs.

Parma Nand .. Respondent(s)

( With Office Report )

DATE : 23.1.2002 : This/These matter (s) was/were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Inderbir Singh Alag, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mr. Jana Kalyan Das, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.SP2

Mr. Inderbir Singh Alag, learned counsel argued from 12.20 p.m. to 12.30 p.m.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

Charanjit

[ Om Prakash ]  
Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....  
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5744/2000@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

State of Punjab & Anr. .. Appellants  
Vs.  
Parma Nand .. Respondent

O\_R\_D\_E\_R@@  
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....  
.SP2

In the facts and circumstances, we do not think  
it is a fit case for our interference under Article 136  
of the Constitution. The appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

.....J@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA  
[ S. RAJENDRA BABU ]@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA  
[ RUMA PAL ]@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@  
AAAAAAAAA  
January 23, 2002 @@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA