

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 6/2015 in Original Suite (s). No(s). 3/2004

STATE OF BIHAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for examining and to produce additional witnesses and office report)

Date : 03/11/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.  
Ms. Bihu Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Purnima Krishna, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

For UOI

Ms. V. Mohna, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Sridhar Poturaju, Adv.  
Ms. Nisha Bagchi, Adv.  
Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.  
Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.  
Mr. Rishabh Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Karan Seth, Adv.  
Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, Adv.For State of  
JharkhandMr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Saran, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Sweta Sharma, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It appears that by order dated 10.9.2015, liberty was given to file an application for permission to call additional witnesses. Pursuant to that order, Interlocutory Application

No.6 of 2015 has been filed, wherein prayer has been made to allow the State of Jharkhand to examine two witnesses named therein as DW-4 and DW-5 as additional witnesses.

Mr. A.K. Ganguli, learned senior counsel appearing for the plaintiff has no objection to this. Hence the prayer is allowed.

Needless to say that the plaintiff may cross-examine the witnesses on any point and may raise other objections.

As prayed by learned counsel appearing for the defendant-State of Jharkhand, four weeks' time is allowed to the said DW-4 and DW-5 to file their Examination-in-Chief by way of an affidavit.

List after four weeks.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]  
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]  
A.R.-CUM-P.S.