

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL SUIT NO.3 OF 2004

STATE OF BIHAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report for direction)

WITH IA.No.4 in SLP(C) NO. 17232 of 2008

(With appln.for directions and office report)

Date: 03/02/2014 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Purnima Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji,Adv.

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr,Adv.
Mr. Amrendra Sharan, Sr.Adv.
Mohd. Waquas, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Manish Sharan, Adv.
Mr. Tapeshe Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr.Manish Kumar Saran, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. A. Sharan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Gopal Prasad,Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das ,Adv

Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv,
Mrs Anil Katiyarm Adv.

Mr. K.V. Mohan, Adv.

..2/-

:2:

Mr. Amrendra Sharan,Sr Adv.
Mr. Manish Kumar Saran, Adv.
Mr. Tapeshe Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Faisal Khan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. Harish N. Salve learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent State of Jharkhand states that it will be in the interest of everybody that the future expansion of the power

project be done jointly by the State of Bihar as well as by State of Jharkahand through a public private partnership and through the agency of Power Finance Corporation of India.

(2) The learned counsel appearing for the State of Bihar states that he will take instructions on this proposal and he also states that the State of Bihar should not have any difficulty in accepting the proposal. Mr. Harish N. Salve learned senior counsel for the State of Jharkhand states that this proposal will be forwarded to the advocate-on-record for the State of Bihar within two days. As expressed by both the counsel, we expect both the State Governments to come back with a positive response.

(3) The proposal and the responses thereto are both without prejudice to the rights of both the parties.

...2/-

:2:

List on 10th February, 2014.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
A.R-cum-P.S.

[Sneh Lata Sharma]
Court Master