

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1480 OF 2007

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS &
CENTRAL EXCISE, U.P.

... Appellant

VERSUS

M/S S.P.L.SIDDHARTHA LIMITED & ANR.

... Respondents

O R D E R

In this appeal the questions that arise
for consideration are formulated as under: -

ic "Whether the floor covering with plast

laminated on both side manufactured and cleared by M/s.
SPL Siddhartha Limited [for the period from 31.05.2000
to 17.01.2005] should be classified under sub heading
3918.90 of the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 and
accordingly, charged to the Central Excise duty @ 16%
ad valorem along with appropriate interest or not?

ic Whether the floor covering with plast

laminated on single sides manufactured and cleared by
M/s. SPL Siddhartha Limited [for the period fr
om 26.09.2002 to 28.02.2003] should be classified under
tariff heading 59.03 of the Central Excise Tariff Act
1985 and accordingly, charged to the Central Excise

Signature Not Verified

duty @ 16% addition valorem along with appropriat

e
Digitally signed by
ASHWANI KUMAR
Date: 2015.10.07
17:20:35 IST
Reason:

interest or not?"

C.A. No. 1480/2007

The background facts are that the respondent-assessee
is engaged in the manufacture of two types of plastic

laminated jute fabrics, viz., (i) laminated with plastic on both sides (ii) laminated with plastic only on one side.

The Department wants these products to be classified under Chapter Heading 3918.90 whereas the assessee claims that these are covered by Chapter Heading 59.

The respective competing entries reads as under: -

"39.18	Floor coverings of plastics, whether or not self-adhesive, in rolls or in the form of tiles; wall or ceiling coverings of plastics, as defined in Note 9 to this Chapter
3918.10	- Of polymers of vinyl chloride
3918.90	- Of other plastics
59.03	Textile fabrics, impregnated, coated, covered or laminated with plastics, other than those of heading No. 59.02
5903.10	- With polyvinyl chloride
5903.20	- With polyurethane
5903.90	- Other
59.04	Linoleum, whether or not cut to shape; floor coverings consisting of a coating or covering applied on a textile backing, whether or not cut to shape
5904.10	- Linoleum
	- Other
5904.91	- With a base consisting of needleloom felt
C.A. No. 1480/2007	or non-wovens
5904.92	- With other textile base"

2

The manufacturing process of these products is described in the Show Cause Notice itself about which there is no dispute. As per this, the assessee procured jute fabrics, bitumen, polyethylene chips and master batch from various sources. In the first part of manufacturing process, the jute fabric is unrolled and brought with the help of a

series of rollers to a plastic extrusion machine. The plastic material chips etc., are fed into the extrusion machine and a coat of molten plastic gets fixed on one side of the fabric. The one-side laminated fabric is again loaded on a roller. The roller is transferred to the other section of the factory where two such layers of jute fabric, having plastic lamination on one surface are joined together by hot, molten, bitumen. The bitumen coat is applied on the exposed jute surface so that, in the final product, the two layers of jute fabric do not remain exposed anymore and the plastic laminations are now the visible surface on both the sides of the floor covering. The thick plastic coat is visible to the naked eye while the jute surfaces are not visible.

We may record here that the Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (hereinfter referred to as 'CESTAT') has accepted the claim of the assessee herein and we feel

C.A. No. 1480/2007

3

that it is rightly so. On the face of it, by going through the aforesaid manufacturing process, it is clear that the products are dominantly jute products and they cannot be treated as products of plastic. Therefore, there is no question of their coverage under Chapter Heading 39. On the other hand, we find that the Entry which is more proximate to get the aforesaid product covered appears to be 59.04 which, inter alia, covers the product that is floor coverings consisting of a coating or covering applied on a textile backing. As already pointed out above, the product which is floor covering is made of jute and plastic coating is applied thereupon.

We may point out that in the amendment made to the sub-Headings in Entry 59.04 in the year 2005, the product in question is described with more clarity inasmuch as Entry

5904.90.10 is described as 'Floor coverings with jute base".
As pointed out above, there is no dispute that in the instant
case, the product is a floor covering with jute as its base.

We are of the opinion that the order of the CESTAT does
not call for any interference. This appeal is, accordingly,
dismissed.

After having determined the classification as
aforesaid, we note that in the Tariff Entry, 16% duty is
prescribed. However, it is submitted by the learned counsel
for the assessee that Exemption Notification No. 6/2000-C.E.

C.A. No. 1480/2007
dated 01.03.2000 at Serial No. 169 provides exemption to the
product in question by specifying that it shall attract nil
duty.

4

....., J.
[A.K. SIKRI]

....., J.
[ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN]

New Delhi;
September 30, 2015.

C.A. No. 1480/2007
ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.14

5
SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 1480/2007

COMMR.OF CUSTOMS & CENTRAL EXCISE, U.P

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S S.P.L.SIDDHARTHA LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date : 30/09/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Yashank Adhyaru, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick, Adv.
Mr. T. M. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Gupta, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Sridharan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M. P. Devanath, Adv.
Mr. S. Vasudevan, Adv.
Ms. L. Charanaya, Adv.
Mr. T. D. Satish, Adv.
Mr. Hemant Bajaj, Adv.
Mr. Anandh K., Adv.
Mr. Aditya Bhattacharya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Nidhi Ahuja)
COURT MASTER

(Renu Diwan)
COURT MASTER

[Signed order is placed on the file.]