

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.8835/2000
(From the judgement and order dated 28/10/1999 in CMWP 23884/99
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UMA STONE CRUSHING CO. & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief and Appln(s). for directions)
(For reporting compliance)
With

SLP(C)No.20637-20659/2000 (with appln.(s) for exemption from filing
c/c of the impugned judgment and exemption from filing O.T.),
C.A.No.6371/2001, C.A.No.6372/2001 (with office report),
C.A.No.8180/2001 and C.A.No.8182/2001

Date : 22/07/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, ASG
Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.

Mr. R C Srivastava, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. Yogeshwar Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rachna Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Majithia, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. U U Lalit, Adv.
Mr. Satish Vig, Adv.

Dr. J N Dubey, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S R Setia, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Dubey, Adv.

....2/-

Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

Mr. P K Jain, Adv.

Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Mr. Anand Padmanabhan
and Ms. Lipika Sharma, Advs.

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, ASG

Mr. Ashok K Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

It is stated by Mr. U U Lalit that even though Rule 9-A has been amended, the direction in the impugned judgment is that Rule 9-A which pertains to the licences granted under Chapter II has, by virtue of the judgment, been made applicable to Chapter IV and as such the amended Rule 9-A will become applicable to the leases under Chapter IV because of the impugned judgment. This is challenged by the respondent.

Counsel for the petitioners wishes to ascertain whether any further amendments are required in the Rules to get over this observation of the High Court.

List after six weeks.

.SP1

(D.P. WALIA)
COURT MASTER

(S.L. GOYAL)
COURT MASTER