ITEM NO.10 COURT NO.9 SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2012
CC 8531/2012
(From the judgement and order dated 13/09/2011 in CR No.5383/2011
of the HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

NEERU MANCHANDA & ANR. Petitioner(s)
VERSUS
ABHEY MAN SINGH SIDHU@ABHEY M.SIDHU&ORS. Respondent(s)

IA 1 (c/delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP)

Date: 10/05/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Umang Shankar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Admittedly, Civil Revision before the High Court was filed by Arunima Manchanda alias Arunima Dhingra. But this special leave petition has been filed by Neeru Manchanda and Amar Manchanda, who were respondents in the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that this is a bona fide mistake and this special leave petition be treated as having been filed for and on behalf of Arunima Manchanda alias Arunima Dhingra. We treat it to be so.

Subject to the condition that amended cause title, concerned affidavit and Vakalatnama will be

2

filed within a week, we have heard learned counsel on merits.

Delay condoned.

After having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusal of the record, we are of the opinion that no case for interference is made out against the impugned order passed by the High Court.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

(A.D. Sharma)
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master