

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).5320/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 31/03/2005 in WP No. 6059/2004 & order dated 05/12/2005 in CA No. 8580/2005 of the HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT AURANGABAD)

UJWALA YUVRAJ NAARKHEDE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ARCHANA PRAKASH NARKHEDE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 12/01/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari,Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.  
Mrs. Arundhati S. Sukhtankar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Uday B. Dube,Adv.  
Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.  
  
Mr. Chinmoy A. Khaladkar,Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde,Adv.  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair,Adv.

Mr. K. Sharda Devi,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Delay condoned. Leave granted.  
Heard the learned counsel for the parties for about half an  
hour.

The impugned judgment is set aside and the appeal is allowed  
in terms of the signed order.

(A.S. BISHT)  
COURT MASTER

(PUSHAP LATA BHARDWAJ)  
COURT MASTER

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 158 OF 2009  
[Arising out of SLP(C) No. 5320/2006]

UJWALA YUVRAJ NAARKHEDE

... APPELLANT(S)

:VERSUS:

ARCHANA PRAKASH NARKHEDE AND ORS.

... RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

Respondents filed a writ petition before the High Court, inter alia, praying for the following reliefs:

"(d) Issue writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to direct the Respondent No.2 to appoint the petitioner on the post of Anganwadi Madatnis, who is more meritorious candidate for the post of Anganwadi Madatnis."

The High Court passed an interim order in terms of prayer (d) and (c) in the writ petition, without assigning any reason therefor and without giving an opportunity of being heard to the appellant herein.

-2-

An application for review of the said order was filed by the appellant, which again, without assigning any reason, has been dismissed.

We, therefore, are of the opinion that the impugned judgment cannot be sustained and it is set aside accordingly.

However, the respondents may file appropriate application for grant of an interim relief(s) if any occasion arises therefor.

We would request the High Court to consider the desirability of disposing of the writ petition as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

The appeal is allowed with the aforementioned direction.

.....J  
(S.B. SINHA)

.....J  
(Dr. MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA)

NEW DELHI,  
JANUARY 12, 2009.