

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL APPEAL No.9814 OF 2013  
(Arising out of SLP(C)No.39184 OF 2012)

RAMESH & ORS. . . . .APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS. . . . .RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Although the special leave petition filed against judgment dated 5.11.2008 passed by the learned Single Judge of the Punjab and Haryana High Court in RFA No.209/1992 is delayed by 1094 days, having carefully perused the averments contained in the application for condonation of delay we are satisfied that there was sufficient cause for not filing the special leave petition within the prescribed period of limitation. The delay is, accordingly, condoned.

Leave granted.

The appellants are aggrieved by the judgment of the learned Single Judge of the Punjab and Haryana High Court whereby he dismissed the appeal filed by them under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 and upheld the award passed by the Reference Court.

The appellants land situated in the revenue estate of village Bhaini Maharajpur, Tehsil Meham, District Rohtak was acquired vide notification dated 14.9.1988 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. The Land Acquisition Collector passed award dated 24.1.1989 whereby he fixed market value of the acquired land in five categories.

The Reference Court granted meagre enhancement in the amount of compensation and the High Court dismissed the appeal filed under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Learned counsel for the appellants invited our attention to order dated 02.09.2011 passed in Civil Appeal No. 7603 of 2011 - Ratna and others v. State of Haryana and others and batch matters and argued that his clients may be granted relief in similar terms.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 fairly stated that the appellants' case is similar to that of Ratna and others v. State of Haryana and others.

In view of the above, the appeal is allowed in similar terms. The detailed reasons recorded in order dated 02.09.2011 passed in Civil Appeal No. 7603 of 2011 - Ratna and others v. State of Haryana and others and batch matters shall be read as part of this order.

. . . . .J.  
[G.S. SINGHVI]

. . . . .J.  
[SHIVA KIRTI SINGH]

NEW DELHI; . . . . .J.  
OCTOBER 29, 2013. [C. NAGAPPAN]

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).39184/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 05/11/2008 in RFA No.209/1992 of The  
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAMESH &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and  
office report)

Date: 29/10/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shakeel Ahmed, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Sanjeev K.Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AORUPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master

( Signed order is placed on the file )