

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 685 OF 2004

M. RAJA MAHENDER REDDY & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay)

Date: 19/08/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. C. Ranjan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.
Mr. B. Ramana Murthy, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Shankar, Adv.
Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Ms. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed.

However, the appellants may apply for regular bail, and may also apply for interim bail. If such an application is filed, the trial Court is directed to decide the interim bail application on the same day on which it is filed and on its own merits in accordance with law.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 685 OF 2004

M. RAJA MAHENDER REDDY & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

We find no merit in the Appeal.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

However, the appellants may apply for regular bail, and may also apply for interim bail. If such an application is filed, the trial Court is directed to decide the interim bail application on the same day on which it is filed and on its own merits in accordance with law.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 19, 2010.