bITEM NO.3 COURT NO.8 SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 5/2013 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10215/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 10/01/2013 in CWP No.8146/1991 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

ASHWANI KUMAR (D) BY LRS. & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report)

Date: 21/04/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Petitioner(s)
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal,Adv.
Mr. Shubham Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Ms. Kaveeta Wadia,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It has been brought to our notice by Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, that on 8.2.2013 the special leave petition was withdrawn with liberty to approach the Estate Office, Chandigarh in view of the changed policy of 2006. According to the aforesaid liberty granted, the petitioners had approached the Estate Office by making a detailed application. It has been submitted by Ms. Kamini Jaiswal that instead of

...2/-

considering the aforesaid application in accordance with the policy of 2006, the premises of the petitioner were sealed on 14th June, 2013. Now the petitioner has been informed that the application cannot be considered under the policy of 2006.

In our opinion, the liberty granted to the

petitioners was to approach the authorities for consideration of the claim under the 2006 policy. In spite of the fact that the premises have been sealed, we direct that the case of the petitioners be considered under the 2006 policy in accordance with law.

Let the consideration be done without being influenced by any other earlier order.

I.A. No. 5 is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Indu Bala Kapur)
Court Master