

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 1817/2014

K. VASU NAMBISSAN & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SPECIAL TAHSILDAR (L.A) & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH
C.A. No. 1818/2014
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 25/02/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. A. Venayagam Balan,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R.,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellants and
the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file
the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by
notice dated 15.09.2014 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the
Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does n

ot

file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub
rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the

Signature Not Verified

list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at
Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
Date: 2015.02.27

the time of presentation of petition

16:02:57 IST

Reason: for seeking special
leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to

.....

that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB