

TPART-HEARD

ITEM NO.36

COURT NO.6

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1920 OF 2000

C.S. SUJATHA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 05/01/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R.S. Hegde,Adv.

Ms. Savitri Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Chandra Prakash,Adv.

Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Mahale,Adv.

Mr. S. Manjunath,Adv.

Mr. R.C. Kohli,Adv.

Ms. Kiran Suri,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde,Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Mishra,Adv.

Mr. A. Rohan Singh,Adv.

UPON being mentioned the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties for a while.

The civil appeal is disposed of.

No costs.

[ T.I. Rajput ]

[ Shelly Sengupta

]

Court Master

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1920 OF 2000

C.S. Sujatha

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Karnataka & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the second respondent states that an alternative plot has been allotted to the appellant. The learned counsel for the appellant states

that in spite of his best efforts, he could not get any instructions in this regard from the appellant. Placing the submission of the learned counsel for the respondent on record, we dispose of this appeal as it is unnecessary to examine the merits of the contentions raised on behalf of the parties in view of the allotment of an alternative plot in favour of the appellant.

The civil appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

No costs.

.....J.

[SHIVARAJ V. PATIL]

.....J.

[B.N. SRIKRISHNA]

New Delhi,  
January 05, 2005.