

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 105 OF 2007

JYOTSNA SEMANT TREHAN Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SEEMANT BALDEV TREHAN Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 14/03/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mrs. S. Usha Reddy, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It appears that the respondent is evading to receive the notice and it is under those circumstances, notice has been served by affixture, which is sufficient service. Transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(NIDHI CHUGH)
Sr. P.A.

(RENUKA SADANA)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 105 OF 2007

JYOTSNA SEMANT TREHAN ...Petitioner

VERSUS

SEEMANT BALDEV TREHAN ...Respondent

O R D E R

It appears that the respondent is evading to receive the notice and it is under those circumstances, notice has been served by affixture, which is sufficient service.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we are satisfied that this is a fit case where the prayer for transfer is to be allowed.

On the facts of the case, we allow this Transfer Petition and direct that Petition No. All65/2006 titled as Seemant Baldev Trehan Vs. Smt. Jyotsna Semant Trehan filed by the respondent herein before the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai, be transferred to the Court of District Judge, Tis Hazari, Delhi, who is directed either to try himself or transfer it to competent Court having jurisdiction to try the same.

The Transfer Petition is, accordingly, allowed.

....., J.
[B. SUDERSHAN REDDY]

....., J.
[SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR]

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 14, 2011.