

H IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.957 OF 2009

SALAHUDDIN Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This appeal is directed against the judgment and order of the High Court, whereby the appellant's prayer for cancellation of the bail granted to the accused was dismissed.

On 29th January, 2010, we had requested the parties to inform us as to whether the trial had already concluded. Today, when the matter is taken up, learned counsel submits that the trial has since been concluded and, accordingly, the appeal has been rendered infructuous. Let the same be dismissed as infructuous.

.....J.
(ALTAMAS KABIR)

.....J.
(CYRIAC JOSEPH)

New Delhi,
February 19, 2010.

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.3

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S). 957 OF 2009

SALAHUDDIN Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR. Respondent(s)

(Office report for direction with letter dated 13.11.2009 received from Addl.District and Session Judge, Mau, U.P. For extension of time)

Date: 19/02/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anurag Kishore, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Pramod Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Ravindra Kr. Verma, Adv.
Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal be dismissed as infructuous in
terms of the signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]