

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 11166-11167 OF 2013

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

APPELLANT(s)

VERSUS

VITHAL DAMODHAR BANSODE

RESPONDENT(s)

O R D E R

1) During the course of hearing, it is informed that the respondent/Vithal Damodhar Bansode has attained the age of superannuation in the year 2022 and the order passed by the High Court has now been implemented. Thus, for all practical purposes, this matter has lost its efficacy and this appeal is rendered infructuous. In terms of the direction of the High Court, the retiral benefits be settled so far as the respondent is concerned.

2) In view of the above, the civil appeals stand disposed of as infructuous. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

3) It is clarified that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

....., J.
[J.K. MAHESHWARI]

....., J.
[ARAVIND KUMAR]

New Delhi;
February 06, 2025.

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.6

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal Nos. 11166-11167/2013

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

VITHAL DAMODHAR BANSODE

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 80/2016 (IX)

Date : 06-02-2025 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR

For Appellant(s) :

Ms. Rukhmini Bobde, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Amlaan Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Amol Nirmalkumar Suryawanshi, AOR

Mr. J. N. Singh, Adv.
Mrs. Sadhana Singh, Adv.
Mr. Meghraj Singh, Adv.
Mr. Satvik Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Civil Appeal Nos. 11166-11167/2013

1) The civil appeals stand disposed of as
infructuous in terms of the signed order.

SLP(C) No. 80/2016

- 1) It is informed that the respondent - Seema has secured lesser marks than the marks obtained by the last appointed candidate in her category in the recruitment process. Therefore, looking to the nature of the directions of the High Court, regarding consideration of the claim of the respondent for recruitment in accordance with the relevant rules and in terms of advertisement, is not required to be interfered with, leaving the question of law open for decision.

- 2) Ordered accordingly, the special leave petition stands disposed of.

(NIDHI AHUJA)
AR-cum-PS

(NAND KISHOR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed order is placed on the file.]