

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.6

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 3892/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-09-2025 in CMM No. 757/2022 18-09-2025 in CMAPPL No. 33618/2022 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

SOUMYA BHATTACHARYA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUDHIR KUMAR THAKUR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 49591/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 49590/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 49589/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES

Date : 27-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sugata Shankar Roy, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sundeep Pandhi, Adv.
Ms. Velasree S, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Bajjal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A.k. Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR
Mr. Rishi Raj, Adv.
Mr. Sujeet Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ningthem Oinam, Adv.
Mr. Vemula Raghuraman, Adv.
Mr. Yogesh Sham Sonawane, Adv.
Mr. Narsingh Patel, Adv.
Ms. Satvik Bajaj, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Sanjoy Kumar Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Rupali S. Ghosh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. This Special Leave Petition is a complete abuse of the process. The petitioner has not permitted the original Consumer Complaint No. 114 of 2012 filed way back in 2012 to proceed by raising frivolous objections from time to time. Though, 14 years have passed by, the petitioner is again before this Court against the Judgment and order passed by the High Court questioning the locus of the complainant. We see no justification to interfere with the order passed by the High Court dismissing the Writ Petition. The Special Leave Petition is, therefore, dismissed with costs quantified at Rs. 10,000/- payable to the respondent.
3. We request the National Commission to take up and dispose of the original application as expeditiously as possible.
4. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER