

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1076 OF 2006

SAT NARAIN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Date: 09/06/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR
[VACATION BENCH]

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ajit Kumar Pande,Adv.(Not present)

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rajeev Gaur 'Naseem', Adv.for
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Even on the second call, none appeared for the appellant.

The Appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1076 OF 2006

Sat Narain

..Appellant

versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

O R D E R

None appeared for the appellant, even on the second call.

Mr. Rajeev Gaur 'Naseem'. learned counsel appearing for the State of Haryana points out that the reason for non-appearance for the appellant seems to be that according to the record, particularly, the jail custody certificate dated 23rd October, 2007, the appellant served out the entire sentence as he has not been granted bail by this Court.

In view of the above, we are of the view that the appeal has become infructuous and is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.
[DR. B.S.CHAUHAN]

NEW DELHI;
JUNE 09, 2011

.....J.
[SWATANTER KUMAR]