

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CRLMP No. 3211 and 3212 of 2013 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1) No(s).1610/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 07/08/2006 in CRLA No.521/1998, of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

UMED SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions, stay of arrest and office report )

Date: 04/10/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N.M.Popli, Adv.  
Mrs.B.Sunita Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Naresh Bakshi, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In the affidavit filed in reply to the application the respondent has inter alia stated that the date of birth shown in the certificate produced by the petitioner along with the application has been verified and found to be correct. Even so the claim made by the petitioner shall have to be examined in the manners provided by Section 7A read with the Rules under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000.

In the circumstances, therefore, we direct an inquiry into the claim of juvenility raised by the

petitioner in terms of Section 7A of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 read with Rule 12 of the Rules framed thereunder. The trial court shall hold an inquiry into the matter, summon the relevant record and examine witnesses, if necessary and submit a report to this Court expeditiously but not later than three months from the date the parties appear before it. Needless to say that the trial court shall also afford an opportunity to the State through public prosecutor/prosecuting officer concerned to associate itself with the inquiry proceedings and lead evidence, if any in rebuttal.

|(Shashi Sareen)

|Court Master

|(Veena Khara)

| |Court Master