

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Criminal) No(s). 237/2013

RAJIV DAIYA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UMESH GARG &amp; ANR

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and permission to appear and argue in-person and office report)

WITH T.P. (Crl.) No. 238/2013

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in person and Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 1002/2013

(With appln.(s) for ex-parte stay and appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in person and Office Report)

Date: 08/04/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard.

T.P. (Crl.)NO.237 of 2013:

We have heard the petitioner who appears in-person. The only reason why he seeks a transfer of Crl.Misc.Petition No.362 of 2012 titled "Rajiv Daiya v. Umesh Garg & Another" pending before the High Court of Rajasthan at Jodhpur to the Delhi High Court is that the said petition is not being taken up for

hearing by the High Court of Rajasthan. That ground is, in our opinion, not sufficient to justify transfer of the case from the High Court of Rajasthan to any other High Court. The proper course for the petitioner is to file an application before the Chief Justice of the High Court of Rajasthan for directing the matter to be listed for hearing at an early date.

In case any such application is filed by the petitioner along with a copy of this order, we hope and trust that the Hon'ble Chief Justice of the Rajasthan High Court will ensure that the matter is listed for hearing before an appropriate Bench for an early disposal and as far as possible within a period of six weeks from the date such an application is filed by the petitioner.

With these observations, this transfer petition is dismissed.

T.P.(Cr1.)No.238 of 2013 and T.P.(C)No.1002 of 2013:

At the request of the petitioner, post again after four weeks.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER