

ITEM NO.51

COURT NO.4

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).15606-15607/2005

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-04-2004 in WP No. 645/1997 and 28-10-2004 in WPMP No. 19479/2004 in WP No. 645/1997 passed by the High Court of A.P. at Hyderabad)

DR. REDDYS LABORATORIES LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE SECRETARY GOVT. OF INDIA &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(WITH APPLN.(S) FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING ON IA 1/2010 FOR SEEKING PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS ON IA 2-3/2016)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 34893/2013 (XIV)

Date : 28-08-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTAFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kailash Chand, AOR  
Mr. K. V. Mohan, AORFor Respondent(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG  
Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Jalan, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. V. K. Verma, AOR

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RSLP(C) No.15606-15607/2005

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

SLP(C) No. 34893/2013

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as also learned Solicitor General.

In terms of the order dated 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2013 passed by the High Court, the petitioners have filed reply and a speaking order has since been passed by the appropriate authority on 02.12.2013.

The petitioners have liberty to challenge the order, if they so desire.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that some other issues were also raised in the writ petition filed in the High Court. If so, they may agitate those issues in an appropriate manner, including, if necessary, by filing a fresh writ petition.

However, before any petition filed by the petitioners is entertained, the petitioners will have to deposit 50% of the "over-charged" amount in terms of our order dated 20<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 passed in a batch of cases titled Union of India & Ors. Vs. M/s. Cipla Ltd. & Anr. [Civil Appeal No.329 of 2005].

If the amount is not deposited by the petitioner, then the High Court will not entertain the petition.

The special leave petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)  
AR-CUM-PS

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER