

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Writ Petition (Civil) No.117 of 2000

Karnika Sawhney

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India & Ors.

...Respondents

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The writ petition pertains to the Beggar Home in Delhi. A report has been filed on or about 8 th October, 2014 after an inspection of the Beggar Home by Mr. L. Nageshwara Rao, who was then the Additional Solicitor General, Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Senior Advocate and Ms. Indra Sawhney, Advocate.

Since the issue is limited to the Beggar Home in Delhi, we are of the opinion that it will be appropriate if the matter is dealt with by the Delhi High Court.

The Registry is accordingly directed to send the record of the case to the Delhi High Court for taking necessary action on the basis of the materials on record as well as the report filed in October, 2014.

Since the matter is pending for quite some time, we request the Delhi High Court to take up the matter on

regular basis and pass appropriate directions at the

earliest.
-: 2 :-

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Sanjay Kumar
Date: 2015.02.02
16:18:22 IST
Reason:

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

In view of the orders passed in the writ petition,
all pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

.....J.

(MADAN B. LOKUR)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 30, 2015

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Transferred Case No.58 of 2010

Harsh Mander

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India & Ors.

...Respondents

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The challenge in the writ petition is to the provisions of the Bombay Prevention of Begging Act, 1959 as applicable to Delhi. The said writ petition was transferred to this Court by an order dated 19th October, 2010 in view of the pendency of Writ Petition (C) No.117 of 2000 in this Court.

Since we have transferred Writ Petition(C) No.117 of 2000 to the Delhi High Court, we do not think it appropriate to continue with this writ petition in this Court. Accordingly, Writ Petition(C) No.10498 of 2009 is transferred back to the Delhi High Court for consideration on merit.

We would request the Delhi High Court to take up the matter on regular basis and pass appropriate directions at the earliest.

-: 2 :-

Accordingly, the transferred case is disposed of as above.

.....J.
(MADAN B. LOKUR)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 30, 2015
ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.9

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(Civil) No.117/2000

KARNIKA SAWHNEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for directions and office report)
(For Final Disposal)

WITH
T.C.(C) No. 58/2010

Date : 30/01/2015 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. B.B. Sawhney, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Indra Sawhney, AOR

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Divya Jyoti Jaipurkar, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG
Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddh P. Mayee, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR
Mr. D. S. Mahra, AOR
Mr. Abhijeet Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, AOR

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Writ Petition(Civil) No.117/2000

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

In view of the orders passed in the writ petition,
all pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

T.C.(C) No. 58/2010

The transferred case is disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
COURT MASTER

(Signed orders are placed on the file)