

P
W.P(C)No. 145 OF 1998
ITEM No.25

Court No. 3

SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.145/1998

BHUPENDRA THAKER

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

ADMN. OF DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI (I.D.)&ORS

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for directions)
With I.A. No. 2/2001 (Appln. for discharge of Adv. on record for
the petitioner)

Date : 10/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. Manoj Prasad, Adv.

For U.O.I.

Mr. N.N. Goswami, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Smita Inna, Adv.
Mr. C. Radhakrishna, Adv.
Mr. R.N. Verma, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Ms. Praveena Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Pramod B. Aggarwala, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

I.A. No. 2 is allowed.
The writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

.SP1

Kalyani. (S.L. GOYAL)@@
AA
COURT MASTER @@
A AA

(Signed Order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 145 OF 1998@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
Bhupendra Thaker Petitioner

Versus

Admn. of Dadra & Nagar Haveli (I.D.) & Ors. Respondents

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Learned counsel states that despite his having repeatedly written to the petitioner, no instructions have been received by him. He, therefore, desires that he may be discharged. While allowing the application for discharge we see no reason to continue with this petition which is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of non-prosecution.

.SP1
.....J
(B.N. KIRPAL)

.....J
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

New Delhi,
August 10, 2001.