



the cheque came to be dishonoured.

3. The petitioner was put to trial in three different cases.

4. The Trial Court held the petitioner guilty.

5. Insofar as the present petition is concerned, it arises from Complaint Case No. 1875/2006 (T.R. No.524/2012) .

6. The said case was tried by the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Dhanbad.

7. We looked into the substantive order of sentence passed by the Trial Court insofar as the Complaint Case No.1875/2006 (T.R. No.524/2012) is concerned. The same reads thus:-

*"xxx*

*Keeping in view of above facts, circumstances and nature of the proved offence, I do not think proper to take any lenient view in favour of the convict because he has been earlier convicted in other cases filed by the complainant and appeal against the same is still pending and about the fact that it has been accepted from the defence side. So in my considered view S.I for two years and fine double of the cheque amount i.e. Rs.60,000/-x2=Rs.120,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Twenty Thousand) for proved offence u/s 138 of N.I. Act, will be sufficient to meet the ends of justice. Accordingly, the above convict is sentenced to undergo S.I for two years and with a fine of Rs.1,20,000/- as compensation and cheque amount. The convict is directed to pay Rs.1,10,000/- (Rupees One Lakh and Ten Thousand only) in favour of the complainant as cheque amount and compensation and Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) deposited in Government account, Government of Jharkhand as fine. In default of payment of fine, the convict is further directed to undergo S.I. for three months, which will be a separate part of the sentence."*

8. The appeal preferred by the petitioner before the Sessions Court against the judgment and order of conviction passed by the Trial Court also came to be dismissed.

9. We take notice of the fact there in two connected SLPs arising out of Complaint Case No.1586/2006 and Complaint Case No.1876/2006 respectively, arising out of the same impugned order, notice has been issued and bail was granted to the petitioner.

10. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit

that his client has paid the entire amount to the complainant as directed by the Trial Court in the substantive order of sentence.

11. We are further informed that the petitioner is in jail since 15.05.2025.

12. We order that the petitioner be released on bail, subject to terms and conditions that the Trial Court may deem fit to impose insofar as the present Special Leave Petition is concerned.

13. List all the matters in the month of July, 2026.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)