

\ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.6

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No.4 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 67 OF 2007

JAI PRAKASH TYAGI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for clarification/correction of the judgment dated 23.8.2011 and office report)

Date: 01/12/2011 This I.A.was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma,Adv.
Mr.Avinash Kumar Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, AAG
Mr.R.K.Gupta, Adv.
Mr.Rajiv Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Kamendra Mishra,A.O.R.

Mr. Daleep Kr. Dhayani, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra,A.O.R.

Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain,A.O.R.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No.4 is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 4 OF 2011
IN
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.67 OF 2007

JAI PRAKASH TYAGI & ORS.

PETITIONER (S)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.66 OF 2007

ORDER

The counsel for the petitioner(s), in this I.A., has prayed for clarification/ correction in the Judgment dated 23rd August 2011 in para nos. 1, 4, 6(1) 7(at two places), 11(at two places), 12 and 13 claiming to be typed wrongly. .

The facts as stated in the Writ Petition (C) No.66 of 2007 were noticed by us, since all the learned counsel had agreed that could be the lead matter. We were also told, at the time of hearing of these petitions, that the facts situation and the legal issues in the connected Writ Petitions are identical with

3

that of the lead matter. Therefore, we had taken the dates of the notifications as mentioned in the Writ Petition (C) No.66 of 2007. In view of that, we do not see any good reason to make any correction/s in our Judgment and Order.

However, without standing on any technicalities, we order that the dates, as mentioned in para nos. 24 and 25 as 18.12.2006, be corrected as 18.12.2007 as also the extracted portion in para no.4 dated 18.09.2006, be corrected as the Government's Notification dated 18.12.2007, since it is not going to change/alter the conclusions reached by us.

Ordered Accordingly.

.....J.
[G.S. SINGHVI]

.....J.
[H.L. DATTU]

NEW DELHI
DECEMBER 01, 2011.