

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).5086 OF 2008

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SUNITA SATYARTHI

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

None has appeared on behalf of any of the contesting parties.

Having regard to the age of the litigation and the issues involved, we have thought it appropriate to consider the matter on merits.

Having read and considered the order(s) of the High Court, we are of the view that directions 5 and 6 contained in the order dated 05.04.1994 in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.2230 of 1992 are not appropriate. We, therefore, set aside the said directions while maintaining the rest of the order of the High Court.

Civil appeal is allowed to the aforesaid extent.

....., CJI.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

....., J.
(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)

....., J.
(K.M. JOSEPH)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 04, 2018

ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.1

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 5086/2008

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SUNITA SATYARTHI

Respondent(s)

Date : 04-10-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

For Appellant(s) Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR (NP)

For Respondent(s) Mr. Daya Krishan Sharma, AOR (NP)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed
order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand
disposed of.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)
COURT MASTER

(ASHA SONI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file.)