



ITEM NO.2                      COURT NO.3                      SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)  
No. 2559/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order  
dated 25-08-2025 in BA No. 757/2025 passed by the  
High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

RAJ KUMAR    Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)                      Respondent(s)

Date : 02-04-2026

This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Vijay Kumar Yadav, AOR  
Mr. Tarun Gahlot, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Chinmaee Chandra, Adv.  
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Sonali Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Chitvan Singhal, Adv.  
Mr. Katikay Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Ms. Ameyavikrama Thanvi, Adv.  
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.

Mr. Lalit Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel  
the Court made the following  
O R D E R

- 1) Against an order of rejection of regular bail by the High Court and to seek bail, the present special leave petition has been filed. The petitioner is in custody w.e.f. 26.10.2023 in connection with FIR No.1006/2023 dated 26.10.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered with Police Station Mahendra Park, Delhi.
- 2) After hearing learned counsel, looking to the role as assigned to the petitioner of merely standing on

the spot and the total period of custody, by this time, is more than 2 years and 4 months. The prosecution has cited 28 witnesses, and out of them, 4 have been completely examined, 4 have been examined partly and 6 have been dropped. Learned counsel for the State, at this stage, submits that they have to examine only 14 witnesses. Charges have been framed on 26.02.2024 and within two years, as stated, only 8 witnesses have come forward. In such circumstances, it cannot be ruled out that trial shall take time to conclude. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate

to release the petitioner on bail.

3) Accordingly, we direct to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing the suitable bail bonds and sureties and on such other terms and conditions as may be deemed fit by the trial Court.

4) Petitioner to abide all the conditions as imposed and shall regularly attend the trial until exempted by the Court. Violation, if any, may give a cause to take recourse as permissible and the trial Court is at liberty to do the needful.

5) Accordingly, and in view of the foregoing, the special leave petition stands allowed. Pending

application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(NIDHI AHUJA)**  
**DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

**(NAND KISHOR)**  
**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**