

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1808 OF 2006

KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

REJI THOMAS

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 25/03/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.

Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv.
for M/S. Temple Law Firm,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Nishe Rajen Shonker, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Deepak, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Dinesh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order. No order as to the costs.

However, the impugned judgment and order of the
High Court shall not be treated as a precedent.

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(Ajay Kr. Jain)
AR-cum-PS

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1808 OF 2006

Kerala Public
Commission & Anr.

Service

.... Appellants

Versus

Reji Thomas

.... Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This appeal has been filed against the impugned
judgment and order dated 01.11.2002 of the High Court of
Kerala.

This Court issued notice in the matter on
08.08.2003 but no stay was granted of the impugned judgment
and order. We are informed that the order of the High

Court impugned herein has not been implemented till date.
Hence, we are not inclined to interfere in favour of a party
which is, in fact, guilty of contempt of Court.
Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed on this ground alone.
No order as to the costs.

However, on the facts of the case, we direct that
the impugned judgment and order of the High Court shall not
be treated as a precedent.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(A.K. PATNAIK)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 25, 2010