

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)D.No. 3748 OF 2026

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2758 OF 2023

D KUMAR

... PETITIONER

Versus

A RAJA

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. Despite the fact that there is a delay of 228 days in filing the Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the judgment dated 06.05.2025, passed in Civil Appeal No.2758 of 2023, is made out.
3. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

4. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH)

.....J.
(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 19, 2026.

ITEM NO.1005

SECTION XI-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).3748/2026 In C.A. No. 2758/2023

D KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

A RAJA

Respondent(s)

IA No. 20041/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
IA No. 20042/2026 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 19-03-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The application for oral hearing is rejected.

The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay
as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.

As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if
any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(signed order is placed on the file)