

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).745/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 20/01/2006 & 25/01/2006 in W.P.(Crl.) No.148/2006 and Crl.Misc.No.556/2006 in WP (Crl.) No. 148/2006 & against order dated 6/2/2006 in Cont.Case No.130/2006 in W.P.(Crl.) No.148/2006 of the HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

STATE OF U.P. & ANR. ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.ET5

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay,exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment, exemption from filing O.T., permission to file addl. documents and office report)

A N D

Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No...../2006 (Crl.M.P.No.1824)

(With applns. for permission to file SLP and ex-parte stay and office report)

Date: 17/02/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Petitioner(s)

in Crl.MP.1824:

Mr. K.T.S.Tulsi, Sr.Adv.

Ms. Indu Sharma, Adv.

in SLP 745/06:

Mr. Soli J. Sorabjee, Sr.Adv.

Ms. Indu Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

No.1: Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, A.S.G.

Ms. Mukta Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Singhal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Permission to file S.L.P. is granted.

Issue notice.

....2/-

-2-

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Advocate, takes notice for Respondent No.1.

This litigation between the two States - Uttar Pradesh and Delhi - in Delhi High Court and now this Court is most unfortunate. The matter should have been sorted out at the highest Government level. In the process of this unfortunate litigation high civil servants holding sensitive positions have also been roped in. It is also unfortunate that on one hand investigation of such serious and sensitive matters are pending as also the court cases and on the other hand media interviews are given. Be that as it may, we feel it would be appropriate if the two heads of the government dispassionately discuss the

matter with a view to resolve the controversy of investigation of phone tapping that may have taken place and the matter of further investigation, if necessary. None of the State

governments, in the meanwhile, shall take any precipitate action.

List these matters on 3rd March, 2006.

(N. Annapurna)

Court Master

(Vijay Lakshmi)

Court Master