

VITEM NO.1 COURT NO.6 SECTION XV
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 7-8/2010 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7867 OF 2001

PARAS RAM

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS. Respondent(s)
(for directions/clarification modification of order dated 14.2.2008
and permission to bring on record the order dated 27.10.2010 and
office report)

WITH
I.A. NOS.2-3 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS.7868-7870 OF 2001
(for directions/clarification modification of order dated 14.2.2008
and permission to bring on record the order dated 27.10.2010 and
office report)
CONTEMPT PETITION(C) NO.87/2010 IN CA NO.7867/2001
(with appln(s) for exemption from filing OT)

Date: 07/02/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Jagdeep Dhankhar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Aneesh Mittal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.
Mr. Anish R. Shah, Adv.
Ms. Mamta Saxena, Adv.
Mr. A.N.Singh, Adv.
Ms. Soumya Rai, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. A.M.Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG
Mr. D.K.Devesh, Adv.for
Mr. R. Gopalakrishnan ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Applications and contempt petition are disposed
of in terms of the signed order.

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NOS.7-8 IN C.A. NO.7867 OF 2001

Paras Ram

..Appellant

versus

State of Rajasthan & Others ..Respondents

WITH
I.A. NOS.2-3 IN C.A.NOS.7868-7870/2001
WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION(C) NO. 87/2010 IN CA NO.7867/2001

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

On 04th October, 2010, this Court had passed an order directing the writ petitioners to make representation to the State Government. Now, we are informed that the State Government has decided the representation on 27th October, 2010, Annexure A-1 of the Volume-III of the compilation given by the Additional Advocate General in the Court which is taken on record.

In view of this, we dispose of these applications with liberty to the writ petitioners to challenge the order dated 27th October, 2010 before the High Court in appropriate proceedings which, if filed, shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law after hearing the parties concerned.

Contempt Petition is also disposed of.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;J.
FEBRUARY 07, 2011 [DR. B.S.CHAUHAN]